

4298 RF 93

DUE  
DATE 10-5-93

ACTION *Hedahl*  
DIST LTR ENC

BENEDETTI, R L	
BENJAMIN, A	
BERMAN, H S	
CARNIVAL, G J	
COPP, R D	
CORDOVA, R C	
DAVIS, J G	
FERRERA, D W	
FRANZ, W A	
HANNI, B J	
HEALY, T J	
HEDAHL, T G	X
HILBIG, J G	
KIRBY, W A	
KUESTER, A W	
MANN, H P	
MARX, G E	
McKENNA, F G	
MORGAN, R V	
PIZZUTO, V M	
POTTER, G L	
RILEY, J H	
SANDLIN, N B	
SATTERWHITE, D G	
SCHUBERT, A L	X
SETLOCK, G H	
SULLIVAN, M T	
SWANSON, E R	
WILKINSON, R B	
WILSON, J M	

*Church* A X X

CORRES CONTROL	x	x
PATS/T130G	X	X
<i>Adm Rec</i>	X	X

Reviewed for Addressee  
Corres Control RFP

9-28-93  
DATE BY *Ci*

Ref Ltr #

DOE ORDER # *5400*

ates Government

# memorandum

SEP 27 1993

WPD DBG 11245

Excess Chemical Program Action Plan

Alan Church, Manager  
Waste Regulations Programs  
EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc

The DOE comments on the Excess Chemical Program Action Plan are enclosed

Please respond within one week of receipt of this letter

Attachment

Department of Energy

Rocky Flats Office

SEP 28 1 07 PM '93

EG&G  
ROCKY FLATS PLANT  
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

*Paul Cote*  
Paul Cote, Chief

Environmental Management Branch

ROCKY FLATS OFFICE  
AMFO REVIEW OF TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS  
REVIEW COMMENT RECORD

AMFO  
Form 91-01  
Rev 3, 09/11/92

Document Reviewed (Title, Number, Revision, Date, etc ) Excess Chemical Program Draft Action Plan			Document Preparer David Grosek Signature <i>David Grosek</i> Date September 23, 1993 Phone 966-3305 Organization AMFO/WPD/ESB		Agreement with Dispositions Date Reviewer Document Preparer	
*Comment Type E = Essential (agreement must be documented for other than verbatim incorporation), S = Suggested, Non-C = Nonconcurrence						
Comment No	Comment Type*	Para No	Comment	Disposition		
1	E		The action plan should include all identified concerns Limited Rad screening capability has been identified as a concern Include a milestone to solve - or explain and support a conclusion that it is not a problem			
2	E	Item 3	The draft Envirogram did not give clear guidance on excess vs waste or on 90 Day Areas Clarify this critical information.			
3	E	Item 4	Evaluate whether the existing point contacts such as Environmental Coordinators or Environmental Program Managers can be used Explain what the point contacts do			
4	E	Item 6	Operations personnel must be included on the teams or involved with the work Explain how this will be done			
5	S	Item 1	Explain the purpose of this task			
6	E	Item 10	Evaluate and confirm that the AGMs have the technical capabilities to prepare adequate sampling plans			
7	S	Item 21	Indicate if the quarterly review conflicts with the 30 day limit on recycling/ reuse Explain why the excess chemical program will not still require excess chemicals to become waste after 30 days			
8	S	Item 15	Explain the purpose of the level 4 procedure.			
9	S		Explain why the Chemical Management Program Description document does not need to be changed to reflect changes in the Excess Chemical Program			
10	E		Include a task to accomplish Rad screening or ensure it has been done			

## Document Reviewed

[illegible]